(THE HON'BLE SRI H. C. DASAPPA.)

and as not needing separate amendments and we may proceed with the consideration of the Select Committee Report. The other is, to treat these verbal mistakes as calling for amendments to be moved on the floor of the House. As I have said, it is a well-known convention that these verbal changes should always be left to the concerned department and it is not necessary for us to move the amendments. That is my submission. But, if the House were to think that the verbal mistakes are necessarily to be moved in the shape of amendments, then the other course left over is, to take them up to-morrow. Let us not lose further time on a discussion of this kind. I would request the Hon'ble House also to leave the matter at the hands of the Hon'ble Speaker.

But on one point I must say. There is earlier ruling of the Hon'ble President, then Sri D. H. Chandrasekharaiya, about these verbal changes. This is the report of the year 1943, 21st December page 528:

"Mr. P. SOMASEKHARA RAU.—There is a misprint for the word 'herein.' There is an omission of the 'magistrate' before 'shall declare.'

Mr. PRESIDENT.—Misprints and verbal changes may be brought to the notice of the Law Secretary."

It must be 'magistrate shall declare.' The word 'magistrate' was missing. This can be brought to the notice of the Law Department and not that an amendment should be brought, to the effect that the word 'magistrate' be inserted before 'shall declare' and then consider it before the House. This may be left over. There are precedents for that. I would say that it is better to follow that convention, unless there is some question of policy or principle involved in the matter under dispute.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—We have no objection, but Sri Bheemappa Naik who raised that objection is unfortunately not here. The consideration of this Select Committee Report has already been decided to be taken up to-morrow.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That has not been decided. If the suggestion is accepted there is no need to postpone it.

Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN.—That is accepted.

Sri M. MADIAH.—ನಾವು ಉಪಾಹಾರಕ್ಕೇಳುವ ಮುಂಚೆ ಈ ಮನೂದೆಯ ಮೇಲಿನ ಚರ್ಚೆಯನ್ನು ನಾಳೆಗೆ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸೋಣವೆಂದು ತಾವು ಆಗಲೇ ತಿಳಿಸೋಣವಾಗಿತ್ತು. General discussion on the Select Committee Report may be continued.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If you now accept the suggestion made by the Hon'ble Financial Minister, then there is no need to postpone discussion on the Select Committee Report at all.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯನವರು.—ಇದನ್ನು ತಾವು ನಾಳೆಗೆ ಇಟ್ಟು ಕೊಂಡರೂ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು. ಅಥವಾ ಸೆಲೆಕ್ಟ್ ಸಮಿತಿಯವರು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡಿದರೂ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು.

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Government have no objection if the Hon'ble Speaker thinks that it may be taken up to-morrow.

The Hon'ble Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.—.—I will move a formal motion then.

I beg to move:

"That the debate on the motion to consider the Town Municipalities Bill, 1951, be postponed to 5th April 1951."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved.—

'That the debate on the motion to consider the Town Municipalities Bill, 1951, be postponed to 5th April 1951."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—So, it may be taken up to-morrow.

The Mysore State Aid to Industries Bill, 1951.

Motion to consider.

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA (Minister for Finance and Industries).—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Mysore State Aid to Industries Bill, 1951, as reported by the Select Committe, be taken into consideration."

I do not think I should make any speech at this stage. The Report of the Select Committee deals with all

the changes that are effected. I can say that there are not any material changes at all. The one somewhat important change is his. It was open to the Government, when constituting the Board of Industries, to nominate five people. It was stated in the original Bill that the Government should nominate the Chairman and four others. But the Members of the Select Committee felt that the Chairman need not necessarily be one nominated. The change is that five members shall be nominated and—in the next Clause it is stated—that one of the members of the Board may be appointed by the Government as Chairman. That is one change.

The Preamble is just a little recast to make it simpler.

It was also stated in the original bill that no aid shall be granted if the Board was unanimously against the sanction of a loan. We considered that there was no need for a unanimous resolution in order to deny the loan, but all the same there must be a good majority. So, it is stated there ought to be 8 members against the granting of loan in which case the Government are bound by that.

Then, I do not think other matters are very important. I would commend the report to the House.

Mr SPEAKER.—Motion moved.—

"That the Mysore State Aid to Industries Bill, 1951, as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration."

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA—May I add just a word, Sir? There are certain amendments. Some of them I say, could be well provided for by the rules. In fact, the rule-making power is always there to provide for some of those things like, within what date certain information must be furnished, within what time an application should be made under Clause 8 and so on.

These are matters which I can assure the House can be dealt with under the rule-making power. In fact quite a number of things must be provided for under the rules. So I think Sir, that it may not be quite necessary to have these amendments.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Mysore State Aid to Industries Bill, 1951, as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Clauses of the Bill will now be submitted to the Assembly. Clauses 2 and 3. The question is:

"That clauses 2 and 3 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 4. There is an amendment to clause 4 by Sri H. Siddaveerappa.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Chitaldrug District—General).—Sir, I do not propose to move any of those amendments.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then clauses 4 to 6. The question is:

"That clauses 4 to 6 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 4 to 6 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 7. There is an amendment to Clause 7 in the name of Sri S. R. Guru.

Sri S. R. GURU (Bangalore District—General).—Sir, I beg to move the first amendment to clause 7:

1. That in sub-section (f) after the word 'land' add the words 'building or structure."

ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಮಸೂದೆಯಲ್ಲಿ land ಎನ್ನುವುದಕ್ಕೆ definition ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಈ ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ತಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಥವಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುವವರು ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದ್ದರೆ ಅನುಕೂಲ, ಆ ರೀತಿ ಅವಕಾಶವಿರಲಿ ಎಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ತಂದಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Amendment moved.—

"That in sub-section (f) after the word 'land' add the words "building or structure."

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Well Sir, I must say that generally 'land' includes also permanent fixtures like buildings and so on. So I doubt

(THE HON'BLE SRI H. C. DASAPPA.) very much whether there is any necessity for a special amendment like that. When it is possible for the Government to show the same concessions in the matter of giving some land, it can be presumed that there is an equal provision for giving the building also. The word 'land' is defined in the General Clauses Act and that will be applicable to this Act. I think that with this assurance, the first amendment will be withdrawn.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಗುರು ಅವರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಚಿವರ ಭರವಸೆಯ ಮೇರೆ ಸಭೆಯು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ಪಾಪಸುತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

The amendment was by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Sri S. R. GURU.—I move my next amendment, which is as follows.—

"That in sub-section (g) at the end of the para, delete the fullstop and add the words 'any machinery or part of machinery.'"

ಇದರ ಉದ್ದೇಶವಿಷ್ಟೆ: ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಯಾವು ದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ಮಿಷೀನರಿಯನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಮಾಡದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅಥವಾ ಉಪಯೋಗಮಾಡಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ರಿಪೇರಿಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದು ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಆದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಏನೂ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನವಾಗುವು ದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಣ್ಣಸಣ್ಣ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಯವರಾಗಲೀ ಅಥವಾ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳವರಾಗಲೀ ತಮ್ಮ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುವಾಗ ಅವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ರಿಪೇರಿಮಾಡಿ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದರೆ, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದ್ದರೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು. ಮತ್ತು ಒಂದು ಮಿಷೀನರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗಗಳು ಪ್ರಯೋಜನಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವುದಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಅವಕಾಶವಿರಲಿ ಎಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ದಿಂದ ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ತಂದಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಚಿವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Amendment moved :—

"That in sub-section (g) at the end of the para, delete the fullstop and add the words 'any machinery or part of the machinery.'"

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Well Sir, I quite understand what the Hon'ble Member refers to. There is no specific provision made there, because that was also a matter which could be presumed. The Government, if they have any machinery to spare, may spare them at a concession rate. If the Hon'ble Member thinks that that must also be made clear in the Act, I have no objection to accept the amendment.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಗುರು ಅವರು.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ತೊಂದರೆಯಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಅವಕಾಶವಿರಲಿ ಎಂಬುದು ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ.

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—I accept the amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That in sub-section (g) at the end of the para, delete the fullstop and add the words 'any machinery or part of the machinery'."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

"That clause 7, as amended, stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 7, as amended, was added the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 8. There is an amendment to clause 8 and Sri V. S. Narayana Rao is not here. Sri H. Siddaveerappa is not moving his amendments. So I will put the clauses 8 to 24. The question is :

"That Clauses 8 to 24 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 8 to 24 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1. The question is :

"That Clause 1 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1 was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Title and Preamble. The question is :

"That the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

The Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore State Aid to Industries Bill, 1951, as amended, be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

"That the Mysore State Aid to Industries Bill, 1951, as amended, be passed."

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Chitaldrug District—General).—Sir, I am glad that this State Aid to Industries Bill is on the way of its being passed by this Hon'ble House. The aid that is proposed under this Bill will go to about 10 per cent of the population of the State. I do not deny them and I do not grudge them this aid. But I would only earnestly request the Government to consider that there is another industry, biggest industry in the State which covers a population of 80 to 85 per cent. That industry Sir, I regret to say, has not received any consideration take this at the hands of the Government and there is no provision so far made nor any attempt made by our Government. Sir, time and again we have been striving to bring home this point and to request the Government to move in the matter and see that this biggest industry in the State which gives food to 80 per cent of the population .....

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Food for all.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—..... which produces food for all, requires aid and a very big attempt has got to be made in the matter of giving aid to that industry. Sir, in the several reports, and more particularly in the report of the Congress Agrarian Committee which has been presided over by Sri Kumarappa, we find it mentioned that the one stumbling block in the way of successful prosecution of this industry is lack of credit facilities both long term and short term. It is high time that we seriously think of this matter and try to secure for this industry statutary aid more or less on the basis of the Mysore State Aid to Industries Bill, which may be called as the Mysore State Aid to Agricultural Industry Bill. This is long overdue. Sir, I know that it is a very big problem. It is a problem that requires a very big effort too, and no institution is more suited to shoulder this responsibility than the Government. I would therefore very earnestly request the Government to move in the matter and see that next time when they meet for a session another Bill modelled more or less on these lines is brought forward so that agricultural industry also is given the benefit of State aid.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡರು (ತುಮಕೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ).—ಮೊದಲಿನಿಂದಲೂ ಕೂಡ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯವನ್ನು ಒಂದು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಯಾಗಿ ಭಾವನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪದೇ ಪದೇ ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇವೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಯಾರೂ ಕೂಡ ಈಗಿನ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕಂತೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಸಾಧನಗಳಿಂದ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ನಿಜವಾಗಿಯೂ ರೈತರು ಬಹಳ ಬಡವರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಒಂದು ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ 20 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬೆಲೆ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಒಂದು ಬುಲ್‌ಡೋಜರ್ ಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ 80—90 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲದೆ ಇತರ ದೇಶಗಳೊಡನೆ ಪೈಪೋಟಿಗೆ ನಿಂತು ಲಾಭದಾಯಕವಾಗಿ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದ್ದರಿಂದ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯವನ್ನೂ ಕೂಡ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದರೆ ಬಹಳ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಚಿವರು ವ್ಯವಸಾಯಗಾರರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇವಲ ಒಂದು ತರಹವಾದ ಭಾವನೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಟ್ಟುಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದು ಶುದ್ಧ ತಪ್ಪುದಾರಿಗೆ ನಮ್ಮನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ... .

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ ದಾಸಪ್ಪನವರು.—ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ತಂದಿರುವುದು ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಚಿವರಲ್ಲ; ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಯ ಸಚಿವರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡರು.—ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಯ ಸಚಿವರೇ ಆಗಲೀ, ಅವರು ಎಲ್ಲ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಅಂಕ ಅಂಶಗಳು ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತವೆಯೋ ಆ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಶ್ರದ್ಧೆವಹಿಸಿ ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ದಿನ ಪ್ರಾಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ತರೆನೋವು ಇರುವುದು ವ್ಯವಸಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ. ವ್ಯವಸಾಯ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಡೆದರೆ ದೇಶದ ಇತರ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಅದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಮೂಲಭೂತವಾದ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮಾಡದೆ ಬೇರೆ ಯಾವ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಶಸ್ತ್ಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೂ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನವಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ಸೇರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕಾ ಸಚಿವರು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Mr. Speaker, Sir, while I very much sympathise with the sentiments so well expressed by my friend Sri H. Siddaveerappa and reinforced by my friend opposite Sri B. Hutche Gowda, I must say that they were not quite relevant in their remarks in connection with this Bill.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—The other day when there was an Industrial Conference in Delhi, Sir Sriram said that agriculture is the biggest industry in the country that 90 per cent are engaged in this industry. That has not been in this Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—At this stage, the scope of discussion on the Bill is to oppose it or support it.

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—But lest some impression should get abroad that we have ignored consideration of this main industry not only in Mysore but in the whole of India, I must correct some of the statements made by my Hon'ble friend Sri H. Siddaveerappa. He said that we had not taken note of the fact at all and that we had done nothing for helping the agriculturist. I think that is altogether wrong and he was painting the colours far too thick. I have repeatedly asked the Hon'ble Members to turn over page 161 of the Budget. We have provided there over Rs. 60 lakhs towards Advances to Cultivators. If with our slender resources we set apart as much as Rs. 60 lakhs a year, mind you, for rural credit, that is a matter which should, I think, earn the encomiums of the Hon'ble Members.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—What have you given for 1950-51?

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—For 1950-51 we have given Rs. 17·71 lakhs, which is not bad, which is at least four times what was given in 1949-50.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—May I know whether that amount is exclusively for credit facilities? How much have you set apart for credit facilities?

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—You please turn over the page You find there: Land Improvement Loans, Takavi Loans, Sericultural Takavi Loans, Fruit Culture Loans, Loans to Agricultural Colonists in the Visvesvaraya Canal Area, Loans to Agricultural Colonists in Marconahalli Area, Construction of Irrigation Wells, Loans for bringing current fallow into cultivation, Loans for restoration of tanks, Advances for the purchase of Electrical Pumps, Diesel Oil Engine Sets and Persian Wheels, which also helps agriculture, and Advances for giving short term credit to Agriculturists.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು.—Credit ಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು 5 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Rs. 60 lakhs. This makes a fairly imposing array of concessions, and that our friends should be blind to them is what surprises me. But I am in entire sympathy with my friends and I do not know what my colleague Sri T. Mariappa will do. I am sure the Government as a whole will consider the question seriously and will try to evolve a scheme which will meet the needs of the agriculturists and the Hon'ble Members may rest assured that the Government are very keen in providing rural credit. If it becomes necessary, I should think that we should even appoint a Committee to go into the whole question and submit a scheme.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Instead of leaving things to their fate, you please say fairly well what we ought to do.

The Hon'ble Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—I have said that if it becomes necessary and if the Hon'ble Members can contribute towards the evolution of a scheme, we would be glad to welcome their co-operation, but as is indicated by Sri Bheemappa Naik, if they have such implicit faith and confidence in the Government, then I think the appointment of a Committee also may be obviated. With this assurance, I commend this Bill for the acceptance of this House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Mysore State Aid to Industries Bill, 1951, as amended, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

The Mysore Cultivation of Fallow Lands Bill, 1951.

Motion to consider.

The Hon'ble Sri T. MARIAPPA (Home Minister).—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Mysore Cultivation of Fallow Lands Bill, 1951, as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration."

The changes effected are very minor and some of the changes are indeed very valuable. The Select Committee have gone into the whole question thoroughly and they wanted to minimise the likely hardships to the ryots. They